

分頁 1

Talk Resources

- **Safety, biosafety, and regulatory anchors:**
 - NIH Guidelines PDF (Apr 2024) https://osp.od.nih.gov/wp-content/uploads/NIH_Guidelines.pdf
 - NIH OSP Biosafety & Biosecurity Policy: <https://osp.od.nih.gov/policies/biosafety-and-biosecurity-policy/>
 - CDC BMBL hub: <https://www.cdc.gov/labs/bmbi/index.html>
- **DNA design + assembly planning:**
 - NEBuilder Assembly Tool: <https://nebbuilder.neb.com/>
 - NCBI (PubMed/NCBI portal): <https://static.pubmed.gov/portal/portal.fcgi/>
 - NCBI BLAST: <https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>
 - IDT OligoAnalyzer: <https://www.idtdna.com/pages/tools/oligoanalyzer>
 - IDT Codon Opt: <https://www.idtdna.com/pages/tools/codon-optimization-tool>
 - Twist Codon Optimization: <https://www.twistbioscience.com/faq/using-your-twist-account/what-does-twist-codon-optimization-tool-do>
- **Advanced:**
 - Plasmid screening: <https://blog.addgene.org/plasmids-101-plasmid-screening-strategies>
 - Molecular Biology Reference: <https://www.addgene.org/mol-bio-reference/>
- **Protocol development, reproducibility, and sharing**
 - protocols.io: <https://www.protocols.io/>
 - Benchling: <https://www.benchling.com/>
 - Opentrons Protocol Library: <https://library.opentrons.com/>
- **Useful resources borrowed from DNA Read Write Edit**
 - DNA Sequencing at 40: Past, Present, and Future (2017) Shendure, J., Balasubramanian, S., Church, G. *et al.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24286> DNA
 - Synthesis Technologies to Close the Gene Writing Gap (2023), Hoose, A., Vellacott, R., Storch, M. *et al.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-022-00456-9>
 - Recombineering and MAGE (2021), Wannier T, *et al.* Nat Rev Methods Primers, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9083505/>
 - CRISPR Technology: A Decade of Genome Editing is Only the Beginning, Wang, Doudna, *et al.*, <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.add8643>

Talk Example Questions

Q1. In a real lab-automation workflow, what *most* distinguishes an “AI agent” from a normal scripted pipeline?

- a. It always produces deterministic, identical outputs given the same input
- b. It can plan, execute, detect failures, and adapt using tool feedback (closed loop)**
- c. It only works if all steps are manual and human-approved
- d. It replaces the need for calibration and QC

Q2. Your agent generates an OT-2 protocol, but runs fail intermittently due to bead carryover and inconsistent pellet behavior. What’s the best next step for the agent to do *before* changing biology-level assumptions?

- a. Increase PCR cycles
- b. Randomly adjust incubation times until yields improve
- c. Add instrument-aware constraints and liquid-handling parameters (mixing strategy, aspiration height, delays, magnet timing) and run a small DOE**
- d. Switch to a different organism

Q3. A team built: (i) an LLM that drafts protocols, (ii) an OT-2 run that executes them, and (iii) a dashboard that visualizes results. Their system works once, but performance is inconsistent and they can’t explain failures. What change most credibly turns this into an *advanced* automation+AI final project?

- a. Add retrieval over papers and reagent manuals so the LLM cites sources
- b. Add a simulator/digital twin so the OT-2 protocol can be “tested” before runs
- c. Add closed-loop evaluation + iteration: explicit metrics, automated QC gates, and a repeatable plan that updates parameters based on measured outcomes**
- d. Add a human-in-the-loop approval step for every generated protocol

Q4. An agent is set up to generate and run enzymatic assembly protocols. Which design choice most increases the chance the system is *advanced and defensible*?

- a. Let the agent choose any reagent brands so it can generalize
- b. Evaluate success by “did a band appear on a gel?”
- c. Use **typed protocol specs + unit checking**, pre-run validation (labware, volumes, mixing/aspiration constraints), and post-run QC metrics that feed parameter updates
- d. Maximize autonomy by removing all guardrails once the first run succeeds

Python Basics

Resource:

Programming (in general)

 [Ethan Itovitch \(TA\) - HTGAA Pre Bootcamp Python Course](#)

 [Harvard - CS50: Introduction to Computer Science](#)

 [freecodecamp](#)

Python for Biologists

 [Python for Biologists](#)

 [Python Documentation - The Python Tutorial](#)

 [Automate the Boring Stuff with Python](#)

 [Coursera - Biology Meets Programming: Bioinformatics for Beginners](#)

 [Rosalind - learning bioinformatics through problem solving](#)

Special Topics in Python

 [Luciano Ramalho - Fluent Python: Clear, Concise, and Effective Programming](#) (££),
[Example Code](#)

 [Ned Batchelder - Blog: #python](#)

Git and Github

 [Hubspot - An Intro to Git and GitHub for Beginners \(Tutorial\)](#)

Mathematics and Statistics

 [3Blue1Brown](#)

 [StatQuest with Josh Starmer](#)

Example Questions

Q1. What happens when you run this code?

```
class A:
    x = 1

a1 = A()
a2 = A()
a1.x = 5
print(a1.x, a2.x, A.x)
```

- A. 5 5 5
- B. 5 1 1
- C. 5 1 5
- D. 1 1 1

ANS: B

Q2. What does [PEP 20 \(The Zen of Python\)](#) say? Select all that apply.
(Hint: Open a Python shell and run `import this`)

- A. Readability counts.
- B. Errors should pass easily.
- C. Nested is better.
- D. Namespaces are one honking great idea -- let's do more of those!

ANS: A, D

Q3. Open a Python shell in your terminal (use [Ethan's github tutorial](#)).
Find the **smallest** integer n that satisfies **ALL** of these:

1. $2000 < n < 2500$
2. n leaves remainder 6 when divided by 10 (`n % 10 == 6`)
3. n leaves remainder 3 when divided by 7 (`n % 7 == 3`)

ANS: 2026